

# BOARDS & COMMISSIONS APPLICATION

132 North Elmwood Avenue 330-722-9038 www.medinaoh.org

Application Number Z24-17

	Date of Application 5/14/24			
AL	Property Location 1080 SOUTHPORT DR., MEDINA OH 44256			
GENERAL	Description of Project Addition to LIVING ROOM, Kitchen and DINING ROOM			
B				
	Applicant			
NO.	Name SEIAN BROWN			
CONTACT INFORMATION	Address 1090 SOUTHPORT DR. City MEDINA State OH Zip 44256			
OR	Phone 330-541-1784 Email SEPANBIA @ GMAILI COM			
Z	Property Owner			
ACI	Name SEAN AND ERIN BROWN			
INO	Address 1080 SOUTH PORT DR City MEDINA State OH Zip 44256			
Ö	Phone 330-541-1784 Email SEANBIG @ GMAIL: COM			
APPLICATION TYPE	Planning Commission Site Plan Conditional Zoning Certificate Code or Map Amendment Preliminary Plan Final Plat Conditional Sign (EMC/Shopping Ctr) Cert. of Appr. (TCOV) Other  Historic Preservation Board Certificate of Appropriateness Conditional Sign  Board of Zoning Appeals Variance Appeal			
APPLICANT SIGNATURE	By signing this application, I hereby certify that:  1) The information contained in this application is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge;  2) I am authorized to make this application as the property owner of record or I have been authorized to make this application by the property owner of record;  3) I assume sole responsibility for correspondence regarding this application; and  4) I am aware that all application requirements must be submitted prior to the formal acceptance of my application.  Signature  Date  5/14/24			
OFFICIAL USE	Zoning District R-1 Fee (See Fee Sheet) \$ 200  Meeting Date 6/13/24 Check Box when Fee Paid X			
OFFIC	Meeting Date 6/13/24 Check Box when Fee Paid X			



# Z24-17 Southport Drive Rear Addition

Property Owner: Erin and Sean Brown

Applicant: Sean Brown

Location: 1080 Southport Drive

Zoning: R-1 (Low Density Residential)

Request: Area Variance to Section 1121.05 to allow an addition in the rear yard setback

### LOCATION AND SURROUNDING USES

The subject site is 0.38 acres located on the south side of Southport Drive. Adjacent properties contain the following uses and zoning:

North – City Park (O-C)

• East – Single-Family Residential (R-1)

South – Single-Family Residential (R-1)

• West – Single-Family Residential (R-1)



#### **BACKGROUND & PROPOSED APPLICATION**

The applicant is proposing to construct a 352.5 sq. ft. addition on the rear of the existing home. The project also includes an open 91.5 sq. ft. overhang.



#### **REAR SETBACK (SECTION 1121.05)**

Section 1121.05 requires that principal structures in the R-1 zoning district must have a setback of 50 ft. from the rear property line. The existing home is permitted nonconforming with a setback of 47.3 ft. from the rear property line.

The proposed addition will be located 41.3 ft. from the rear property line, which is within the required setback by 8.7 ft.

The proposed overhang will be located 39 ft. from the rear property, which is within the required setback by 11 ft. Section 1113.05(k)(3) allows uncovered decks and porches to project up to 10 ft. in the front setback. However, as the proposal is a covered overhang, this section does not apply.

#### STANDARDS FOR VARIANCES AND APPEALS (SECTION 1107.08(i))

Factors applicable to area or size-type variances ("practical difficulty"). The applicant shall show by a preponderance of the evidence that the variance is justified, as determined by the Board. The Board shall weigh the following factors to determine whether a practical difficulty exists and an area or size-type variance should be granted:

- A. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;
- B. Whether the variance is substantial;
- C. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
- D. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water, sewer, garbage);
- E. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions;
- F. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and/or
- G. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance.

#### APPLICANT'S RESPONSES TO STANDARDS FOR VARIANCES AND APPEALS

The applicant's responses to the Standards for Variances and Appeals include but are not limited to the following:

- The proposed variance will allow a beneficial use of the property and add value.
- The variance is not substantial and only marginally reduces the rear setback.
- The applicant was unaware of the requirement when the home was purchased.
- To allow an improvement to the home by expanding the kitchen and family room, the predicament cannot be feasibly obviated through another method.

## FACTORS APPLICABLE TO AREA OR SIZE-TYPE VARIANCES ("PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY")

The applicant shall show by a preponderance of the evidence that the variance is justified, as determined by the Board. The Board shall weigh the following factors to determine whether a practical difficulty exists and an area or size-type variance should be granted:

A. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any
beneficial use of the property without the variance;
11es, This WIII add valve to our property, but we
ijes, this will add value to our property, but we intend to stay.
B. Whether the variance is substantial;
The addition is minor in my apinion. It reduces
the addition is minor in my opinion. It reduces the "50ft" requirement by a kin Ret.
C. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
No
ing.
D. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water,
sewer, garbage);
No
•
E. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions;
We were unaware of the requirements when we moved to Medina 14 years ago.
to Medina 14 years ago,
F. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and/or
than a variance, and/or
No. we are trying to expand our Kitchen and
family room.
G. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial
justice done by granting a variance.
It approved, all requirements would be
L'Ilmed

Please let me know if you need anything else. I've included our architect and surveyors information if needed.

Charles Klinkenberg
Illes Architects
<u>illesarchitects@zoominternet.net</u>
330-725-6262

Susan Eichhorn
Ohio Surveyor 7265
Corner Stone Professional Land Surveyors
330-723-2612

Sincerely,

Sean Brown 330-541-1784

	1 THOMAS WAND residing at 1077 DAKBROKE OL
	reviewed the preliminary drawings for the Brown's addition at 1080 Southport Dr., Medina, OH
	and have no issues with the proposed addition at the aforementioned address.
	Thanks,
3	THOMAS WEAU

5-13-24

Print Name

Signature and Date

\_\_\_ have 44256

reviewed the preliminary drawings for the Brown's addition at 1080 Southport Dr., Medina, OH 44256 and have no issues with the proposed addition at the aforementioned address.

Thanks,

Print Name

Signature and Date

	LOT IMPROVEMENT COUNTY OF MEDINA, STATE OF	
; . TOWNSHIP	TOWNSHIP LOT NO.	
CITY OF MEDINA	_ LOT NO. 3691 VILLAGE OF	
SUBDIVISION TWIN OAKS - 3		PROP. OWNER RYAN HOMES
50UTHP0	RT DR. 60'	200
♀	Seyler Seyler	
052.85		3" Water
8' 5an.		179 Joans
C/2260		1044.79
19633.5	126.29	
		34.94' 5
\$7/00	<u> </u>	STM.
	21.7' 9/9'	
87.7   87 m	Fir. : 1055,50 -tr.: 1046,60 %	10000
m 1400	18.0' 570	3690
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	•	
3504	3691 60. 5TM. SEVIER ESMT.	
2/ 97	142.92	
3692		
100 m	M	
Maria Pulver IIII	#871 5CALE: 1"= 30"	T. A. CUNNINGHAM & ASSOC.
MODEL: BÚNKER HILL APPROX. AREA O	F LOT: 16,900 P	CIVIL ENGINEERING & SURVEYING
	PROROSED	MEDINA, OHIO  DATE MAY 31, 1977 PROJ. NO. 2505-5
	EX-	CLIENT'S NO.



