

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa1 rating to the City of Medina's (OH) \$9.3 million GOLT Various Purpose Refunding Bonds, Series 2013A (Tax-Exempt) and \$5.1 million GOLT Various Purpose Refunding Bonds, Series 2013B (Taxable)

Global Credit Research - 30 May 2013

Aa1 rating applies to \$30.4 million post-sale general obligation limited tax debt

MEDINA (CITY OF) OH
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)
OH

Moody's Rating

ISSUE		RATING
General Obligation (Limited Tax) Various Purpose Refunding Bonds, Series 2013A		Aa1
Sale Amount	\$9,275,000	
Expected Sale Date	06/05/13	
Rating Description	General Obligation Limited Tax	
General Obligation (Limited Tax) Various Purpose Refunding Bonds, Series 2013B (Federally Taxable)		Aa1
Sale Amount	\$5,125,000	
Expected Sale Date	06/05/13	
Rating Description	General Obligation Limited Tax	

Moody's Outlook

Opinion

NEW YORK, May 30, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa1 rating to the City of Medina's (OH) \$9.3 million General Obligation (Limited Tax) Various Purpose Refunding Bonds, Series 2013 and \$5.1 million General Obligation (Limited Tax) Various Purpose Refunding Bonds, Series 2013B. Concurrently, Moody's maintains the Aa1 rating on the city's outstanding general obligation limited tax debt. Post-sale, the city will have \$30.4 million in long-term general obligation limited tax debt outstanding.

SUMMARY RATINGS RATIONALE

Debt service on the bonds is secured by the city's general obligation limited tax pledge, subject to the State of Ohio's (general obligation rated Aa1/stable) 10 mill limitation. Proceeds from the Series 2013A and 2013B bonds will refund the city's outstanding General Obligation Various Purpose Bonds Series 2010B for net present value savings. Assignment and maintenance of the Aa1 rating reflects the city's modestly sized tax base located near Cleveland (general obligation rated A1/stable outlook) and Akron (general obligation rated Aa3); strong financial operations characterized by conservative budgeting, multi-year financial plans, and ample reserve levels; some reliance on economically sensitive income tax revenues; and an above average debt burden.

STRENGTHS

- Ample General Fund reserves and liquidity
- Strong and conservative management team with internal controls and multi-year forecasting

CHALLENGES

- Reliance on economically-sensitive income tax revenues

- Modestly sized tax base experiencing recent valuation declines
- Average socioeconomic indices

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

STABLE AND MATURE TAX BASE IN CLEVELAND AND AKRON METROPOLITAN REGION

The city's modestly sized \$1.6 billion tax base will likely remain stable over the medium term due to its favorable location in the Cleveland and Akron metropolitan regions. The city is located approximately 35 miles south of Cleveland and 20 miles west of Akron in northern Ohio. The city's tax base, fully valued at \$1.6 billion in 2012, has declined at an average annual rate of 1.8% over the past five years. While earlier reductions in value were tied to the State of Ohio's phase out of the tax on tangible personal property, more recent declines have been associated with general property depreciation. Most recently the annual rate of declines has moderated with valuations declining by a modest 0.6% in 2012. The city is mature and mostly built out following rapid population growth in earlier periods and has limited room for new development. Modest population growth of 6.1% in the 2010 census (to a total of 26,678) followed growth of at least 25% in each of the prior three decades.

Most residents find employment opportunities within the city and the surrounding areas. The city is the county seat for Medina County (general obligation rated Aa1) which also serves as the city's largest employer with 1,365 employees. Other larger employers include Medina General Hospital (1,000 employees) which is now owned by the Cleveland Clinic (rated Aa2/stable outlook), Medina City School District (general obligation rated Aa2, 850 employees) and Sandridge Food Corporation (460 employees). In 2007, the city began utilizing income tax abatements which were awarded based on payroll increase targets to generate business investment and growth. Since its inception, this program has generated approximately \$8.6 million in new payroll and management is projecting an additional \$5.7 million in 2012. Unemployment in Medina county is 6.1% as of March 2013, which compares favorably to both the state (7.3%) and the nation (7.6%) over the same period. Residential income levels approximate both state and national medians. Per capita income is at 108% of the state and 99% of the nation and median family income is 120% of the state and 114% of the nation, according to 2006-2010 American Community Survey 5-year estimates.

STRONG FINANCIAL OPERATIONS WITH HEALTHY RESERVES AND CONSERVATIVE BUDGETING; SOME RELIANCE ON ECONOMICALLY SENSITIVE INCOME TAX REVENUES

The city's financial operations are expected to remain strong due to the presence of ample reserves, internal controls, conservative budgeting and detailed long range financial planning. The city closed fiscal 2011 with a slight GAAP basis operating deficit of \$402,000 bringing reserves to \$11.0 million, or an ample 157% of General Fund revenues. The fiscal 2011 shortfall followed four straight years of surplus operations and was primarily the result of a decline in intergovernmental revenue. Management is estimating a slight increase in General Fund cash reserves to \$11.3 million or 69.9% of 2012 revenues. The city's adopted policy is to maintain unencumbered cash of at least 25% of General Fund expenditures in reserves. The city annually adopts five year budgets as a part of its financial planning and typically projects operating deficits in its out year projections. However, these projections are usually conservative and actual results often yield positive budget variances. The city's combined operating reserves (General Fund and Police Fund) totaled \$14.8 million at the close of fiscal 2011, or a strong 124% of combined revenues.

The city is somewhat reliant on economically sensitive income tax revenues to fund operations. The city levies a 1.25% voter-approved income tax, of which 0.25% is allocated for the city's street improvement program and the remaining 1% can be allocated to other funds. In fiscal 2011, income tax revenues comprised 34% of the city's General Fund revenues, followed by property taxes (20%), state aid (17%) and fines and forfeitures (14.2%). Income taxes comprise the majority of total operating revenues, making up 55% of combined General and Police Fund revenues in fiscal 2011. Income tax revenues have remained relatively stable throughout the economic downturn. Collections dipped slightly by 6.2% and 1.5% in fiscals 2009 and 2010, respectively, but have since rebounded with 5.5% growth in 2011 and is estimated to increase by 8% in 2012. Management attributes the significant increase in income tax receipts in 2012 to income tax payers having to revise estimated annual payments up from prior years and do not expect such robust growth in 2013. Based on current projections, the city expects to see a 2-3% increase in 2013 which is more in line with prior year growth.

AVERAGE DEBT BURDEN WITH NO FUTURE BORROWING PLANS; ABOVE AVERAGE PENSION BURDEN

The city's above average debt burden is expected to remain manageable due to some non-tax levy support from

utilities and a lack of future borrowing plans. Both the city's direct and overall debt burdens of 1.9% and 3.9% of full valuation exceed state and national medians. The city's principal amortization rate is average with 64.5% of outstanding general obligation debt retired within ten years. At the end of fiscal 2011, debt service comprised a modest 2.4% of total operating expenditures. Officials report no future borrowing plans at this time. All of the city's outstanding debt is fixed rate, and the city is not a party to any interest rate swap agreements.

The city has an above average employee pension burden, based on unfunded liabilities for its share of two multiple-employer plans administered by the state. Moody's has allocated liabilities of state cost-sharing plans in proportion to its contributions to each plan for analytic purposes. The city's share of unfunded pension liabilities allocated by Moody's, based on the city's pro rata share of annual contributions, consist of an estimated \$5 million share of the statewide Ohio Police and Fire Pension Fund (OPFPF) and an estimated \$10.2 million share of the Ohio Public Employee Retirement System (OPERS) as of the actuarial valuation dates of January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The combined liability is equal to approximately 1.25 times the district's fiscal 2011 operating fund revenues. The city's annual contribution to the retirement systems in fiscal 2011 was \$15.2 million, equal to 12.7% of operating revenues.

Moody's adjusted combined net pension liability (ANPL) for the district as of fiscal 2011 related to the OPFPF and OPERS state administered plans, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$38.7 million, or an above average 3.2 times operating revenues, compared to less than 1 times on average in the sector. Moody's ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the district's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - UP

- Significant expansion and diversification of local economy and tax base
- Improvement in resident income indices

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN

- Sustained valuation declines
- Considerable reductions in operating reserves
- Increases to debt levels

KEY STATISTICS

2010 census population: 26,678 (6.1% increase since 2000)

2012 full valuation: \$1.6 billion (1.8% 5-year average annual decrease)

Estimated full value per capita: \$61,523

2006-2010 Median Family Income (American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): \$71,469 (119.8% of OH, 113.5% of US)

2006-2010 Per Capita Income (American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates): \$27,079 (107.8% of OH, 99.1% of US)

City of Medina unemployment (March 2013): 6.1% (Ohio: 7.3%; US: 7.6%)

Fiscal 2011 General Fund balance (GAAP basis): \$11.0 million (157% of General Fund revenues)

Fiscal 2011 General and Police Special Revenue Fund balances (GAAP basis): \$14.8 million (124% of combined revenues)

Overall debt burden: 3.9% (1.9% direct)

Principal amortization (10 years): 64.5%

General obligation bonds outstanding: \$30.4 million

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was General Obligation Bonds Issued by US Local Governments published in April 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moody.com.

Please see www.moody.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Chandra Ghosal
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Hetty Chang
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT

COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and

Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.