

## BOARDS & COMMISSIONS APPLICATION

132 North Elmwood Avenue 330-722-9038 www.medinaoh.org

Application Number Z25-28

GENERAL	Date of Application 9/18/2025  Property Location 221 S Elmwood Avenue  Description of Project Build an 854 privacy wavden Sonce beside our
	back patio. An 85-t some is being regressed because our back door sits higher than ground level and it faces towneds the public parking lot allowing anyone to look in our back door of blinds are not closed.
CONTACT INFORMATION	Applicant
	Name Darren M. Hesson
	Address 221 S Elmwood Avenue City Medina State OH Zip 44256
	Phone Email
	Property Owner
	Name Darren M. Hesson
	Address 221 S Elmwood Avenue City Medina State OH Zip 44256
	Phonc Email Email
APPLICATION TYPE	Planning Commission Site Plan Conditional Zoning Certificate Code or Map Amendment
	Preliminary Plan Final Plat Conditional Sign (EMC/Shopping Ctr) TC-OV Other
	Historic Preservation Board Certificate of Appropriateness Conditional Sign
	Board of Zoning Appeals Variance Appeal
ICANT SIGNATURE	By signing this application, I hereby certify that:  1) The information contained in this application is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge;
	2) I am authorized to make this application as the property owner of record or I have been authorized to make this
	application by the property owner of record;  3) I assume sole responsibility for correspondence regarding this application; and
	4) I am aware that all application requirements must be submitted prior to the formal acceptance of my application.
	Signature <u>Dum M. Herri</u> Date <u>09/18/2025</u>
APPI	Signature Date (19/18/3035
DFFICIAL USE	Zoning District R-3 Fee (See Fee Sheet) \$
	40/0/05
OFFIC	Meeting Date10/9/25 Check Box when Fee Paid X



### Z25-28 South Elmwood Avenue Fence

Property Owner: Darren Hesson and Sara Graham

Applicant: Darren Hesson

Location: 221 South Elmwood Avenue

Zoning: R-3 (High Density Urban Residential)

Request: Area Variance to Section 1155.01(c)(1) to allow a fence taller than permitted

#### LOCATION AND SURROUNDING USES

The subject site is composed of 0.27 acres located on the west side of South Elmwood Avenue. Adjacent properties contain the following uses and zoning:

North – Single-Family Residential (R-3)

• East – Parking Lot (P-F) and Hotel Site (C-2)

South – Parking Lot (P-F)

West – Single-Family Residential (R-3)



#### **BACKGROUND & PROPOSED APPLICATION**

The applicant is requesting to remove an existing fence and construct 33.5 ft. of 8 ft. tall wooden fence between the home and the detached garage. As noted above, the subject property abuts a public parking lot to the south.

#### FRONT YARD SETBACK (SECTION 1155.01(c)(1)

Section 1155.01(c)(1) indicates a maximum fence height of 6 ft. in the side and rear yard for properties in residential zoning districts. The fence height may be increased to 8 ft. when the top 2 ft. are 50% open. The applicant has proposed a solid 8 ft. tall privacy fence in the side and rear yard.

To note, solid fences in the adjacent P-F zoning district to the south are permitted to be 8 ft. in height.



#### STANDARDS FOR VARIANCES AND APPEALS (SECTION 1107.08(i))

Factors applicable to area or size-type variances ("practical difficulty"). The applicant shall show by a preponderance of the evidence that the variance is justified, as determined by the Board. The Board shall weigh the following factors to determine whether a practical difficulty exists and an area or size-type variance should be granted:

- A. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any beneficial use of the property without the variance;
- B. Whether the variance is substantial;
- C. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
- D. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water, sewer, garbage);
- E. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions;
- F. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other than a variance; and/or
- G. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting a variance.

The applicant has indicated the following regarding the Standards for Variances and Appeals:

- The variance is necessary to allow a beneficial use of the property as the home's back door is elevated and screening is necessary from the adjacent parking lot.
- The variance is not substantial as the fence will only enclose a back patio facing a parking lot.
- The essential character of the neighborhood will not be altered as adjacent property owners have no issues with the fence.

#### FACTORS APPLICABLE TO AREA OR SIZE-TYPE VARIANCES ("PRACTICAL DIFFICULTY")

The applicant shall show by a preponderance of the evidence that the variance is justified, as determined by the Board. The Board shall weigh the following factors to determine whether a practical difficulty exists and an area or size-type variance should be granted:

A. Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable return or whether there can be any
beneficial use of the property without the variance;
Purpose of variance (85+ privacy sence) is to block view of
parking lot and for someone sitting in parking lot to not be able to look into our back door as it does not sit at
be able to look into our back door as it does not sit at
ground level.
B Whether the variance is substantial:
Variance will only be requested for our back patio facing
the parking lot.
<del></del>
C. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or whether
adjoining properties would suffer substantial detriment as a result of the variance;
Speaking with both of our neighbors on both sides of
our property lexcluding medina county who own parking lot)
H have in E
thry have no issue for an 854 Sence. Wirthen statements can
be made by them if needed.
D. Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water,
sewer, garbage);
Dig 811 was contacted and no lines were sound to be
close to area of Jence area.
E. Whether the property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions;
I was not aware of the zoning restrictions when purchasing
the property.
F. Whether the property owner's predicament feasibly can be obviated through some method other
A request for an 88t fance is being requested due to parking tot and privary.
11 regges y sor any os y reside to acting regression one to parking
10T and privacy.
<u> </u>
C. Whether the spirit and intent hehind the zening requirement would be observed and substantial
G. Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial
justice done by granting a variance.

#### **Hesson Fence Permit Request**

#### Details are as follow:

- 1. 8ft tall wooden privacy fence
- 2. A new patio was recently installed that outlines the same area such as the wooden deck that was previously installed
- 3. Fence would run 25ft from garage to house then 8.5ft connecting to the house
- 4. 811 dig was contacted, no lines were found to be running in the area where fence would be installed

# Hesson Fence Requisitions



Benerated with the GeoMOOSE Printing Utilities



